# San Jose, Batangas, Philippines

#### New sustainable infrastructure

# Tollway with integrated solar, wind, storage, EV charging, and utilities.

A vertically-integrated automated tollway for moving people and goods. Podway built alongside roadways and highways within public right-of-way easements. Includes a renewable energy grid with battery-backed solar and wind generation, on-street EV charging, and utilities.

Finance • Build • Own • Operate (FBOO)

Financial Summary - details on page 3-6				
Project Cost (CAPEX)	\$171.3M			
\$2.7M per route-km \$2,144 per resident cost				
Annual Revenue	\$126.3M			
Multiple long-term contracts and revenue streams from passengers, renewables, advertising, freight, parcels, carbon credits, and attachment fees.				
<b>Operating Expenses (OPEX)</b> Rev share, monitor, security, clean, maintain	\$40.1 <b>M</b>			
Net Operating Income	\$63.4M			

Multiple scenarios and metrics on page 4

# **Project Details**

#### Length: 63 km

Guideway with stainless steel exterior, aluminum rails, galvanized steel supports at 24 m (79 ft) spacing. Expected 75+ year lifespan.

#### Number of Vehicles: 455

Automated, on-demand, battery-electric pods can carry 4 seated passengers or 1400 kg (1.5 ton) pallet-sized payload.

#### Number of Access Points: 426

Access points (pod stops) are electric lifts that lower pods to ground-level for boarding off the main line.

Serves all major destinations including: Airport(s), Train station(s), Bus terminal(s), Hospitals, Schools, Places of worship, Tourist sites, Grocery stores, Retail, Residential, Freight hubs, Industrial, Distribution centers, and Seaports.

#### Population served: 72K

72 km/h (45 mph) non-stop. Convenient to population of 71,881. Integrates with existing travel modes. Provides car-like convenience and train-like capacity.

#### Renewable Energy System: 14.7 MW

15 MW generation of clean and renewable energy. GHG reduction of 15,600 tCO2e per year.







## **Status and Milestones**

First PilotInstalled & testing (Boston 2021)Feasibility studyCompletedFundingPartial (see page 5)Insurance & BondingHave commitmentRights-of-Way agreementTBDRoute approvedTBDEPC selected04/2023First phase Permitted05/2023On-site Pilot installed07/2023Financial close07/2023First phase operational01/2024Full system operational08/2024

# Additional Info

Public webpage for Philippines Request feasibility study



# **Feasibility Study and Industry Comparables**

### Feasibility Study Summary

- ✓ Financial: Multiple sources of revenue, long-term contracts and network effects deliver durable cash flows and high margin operations.
- **Regulatory**: International Automated People Mover standards for system safety.
- ✓ Land acquisition: None. Installed within public rights-of-way (RoW) alongside roadways within utility-like aerial easements.
- **Government**: Provides aerial RoW easements through long-term concession agreement. Strong government support from revenue stream and no government funding. Provides public transport that is convenient, inclusive, accessible, sustainable, and equitable. No land use or negative impact on other modes of travel. Lowers gov't cost for road & bridge maintenance.
- ✓ Construction: 90% of work is competitively bid on fixed-price contracts with gualified and reputable firms. Infrastructure is built in factory which makes for fast installation and low disruption.
- ✓ Environmental: No significant environmental impact. Carbon negative. Pollution free. Powered by clean and renewable energy
- **Societal**: Fast to build and not disruptive. Improved safety, reduced crime. Creates jobs and economic growth. Eliminates congestion & parking issues. Integrates with existing transport.
- **Technical**: Exclusive, elevated, fully-automated guideway avoids complexities of multi-modal roadway. Similar to systems that have been safely operating for 45+ years. See box to right  $\rightarrow$

**CONFIDENTIAL** Prepared for Md Alamgir Hossain Sunny under NDA This copy embeds unique watermarks for tracking purpos

San Jose, Batangas, Philippines

Solar Podway Project Feasibility Study

For lenders and equity investors to conduct due diligence and analyze business, financial, and technical feasibility of a podway project

.....Page 1

...15

17

...19

25

#### Podway vs. ATN/PRT

**No land use:** podways go alongside existing roads use use low-cost stops to enter pods at ground level.

Low cost: mass production of civil infrastructure

Goods: automated transport of freight and packages

Utilities: integrates utility lines & street lighting

Energy: solar & wind on podway generate distributed renewable energy & storage to sell.

High capacity: 6-pod trains every second carry 86.400 seats/hr. Pod lifts can handle any loading demand.

**High speed:** 242 km/h (150 mph) over long distances

**Convenience:** road-like network with stops on every block achieve car-like convenience and availability.

#### **Operational ATN/PRT Systems**

Location	Name and Vendor	Route (km)	Vehicles	Service Year
Morgantown, West Virginia	Morgantown PRT	5.8	70	1975
London Heathrow Airport	ULTra	3.8	21	2011
Masdar City, UAE	2getthere	1.8	10	2010
Suncheon, South Korea	Vectus	4.6	40	2014
Raytheon, Massachusetts (tested)	PRT 2000	1.5	3	1995-1997

### Related podway projects

Barishal, Bangladesh: In Development Phase. AECOM providing program management. Local firm preparing route survey and environment impact study.

Pilot: Installed in Oct 2021 in Massachusetts, USA. Testing underway and operational in Q4 2022.

#### Government commitments

for 8+ countries in Africa, Asia, and North America

Feasibility Study and Industry Report available upon request.



10. STAKEHOLDERS	
11. MANAGEMENT	
12. EMPLOYMENT	
13. ROUTE	
14. PROJECT COSTS42	
15. TIMELINE43	
16. DEVELOPMENT PHASE44	
17. DESIGN PHASE45	
18. CONSTRUCTION PHASE46	
19. SYSTEM51	
20. CIVIL WORKS	
21. ELECTRICAL & MECH WORKS67	
22. ROLLING STOCK71	
23. UTILITY75	
24. ENERGY76	
25. RESILIENCY79	
26. CAPACITY80	
27. OPERATIONS81	
28. INSURANCE	
29. RISKS	

Executive Summary ...

PROJECT OVERVIEW ...... MARKET.

Chapters

FARES ...

8. SAFETY ....

9. REGULATORY

RIDERSHIP

FINANCIALS ...

RIGHTS-OF-WAY

ENVIRONMENTAL .....


MAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA



	PENDIX	
L	Travel Mode Table	96
8.	Competition Matrix	
	System Table	
).	Regional Table	
	Environmental Impact Table	100
	Passenger Fare Table	101
à.	Financial Table	
ł.	Similarity to Other Systems	
	Employment Table	
	Project Table	105
ć.	Capacity Table	
	Revenue Share Table	106
Λ.	Right-of-way Easement Envelope	
L.	Energy Generation and Storage	
).	Impact and Resources	

Page 2

# **Project Details**



Top-level timeline and schedule

## **Partners and Major Contracts**

Lead Developer Transit X

- Accounting / CPA big 4
- Concession Agreement Gov't (or private)


Financial advisor EACP

- **Program Management AECOM** 
  - Bankable Study KPMG/PwC/EY

Insurance Lloyds of London


- Transit Engineering Capgemini
  - Civil Works Competitive bid
  - Energy Systems Competitive bid
  - Manufacturing Multiple contracts

## **Project Structure**



### **Use of Funds**





### **Use of Funds**

	Task item	Cost (US\$)
1	DEVELOPMENT: 3 to 9 months	\$6.9M
2	Feasibility Study	754,000
3	Ridership-Revenue Study	480,000
4	Pilot	1,096,000
5	Civil planning & assessment	2,466,000
6	Contracts, Documentation & Legal	617,000
7	Project Management	548,000
8	Travel & Meetings	206,000
9	Contingency for Development Phase	685,000
10	IMPLEMENTATION / EPC	\$164.5M
11	DESIGN: 3 to 6 months duration	27,401,000
12	Financing fees	4,932,000
13	Contracts & Legal	1,644,000
14	Commission fee	4,987,982
15	Civil Design	4,932,000
16	Transport Design	3,562,000
17	Utility Design	3,288,000
18	Permitting & Approvals	1,918,000
19	Owner's Engineer and Rep	2,466,000
20	Project Management (through construction)	2,740,000
21	Independent Engineering Consultant	1,096,000
	PROCUREMENT	78,776,855
23	Substructure (vertical supports)	5,514,000
24	Superstructure (guideway)	33,874,000
25	Pods (vehicles)	6,302,000
26	Lifts	4,727,000
27	Solar & Wind generation	24,421,000
28	Battery packs (energy storage)	788,000
29	Shipping & Tariffs	3,151,000
30	<b>INSTALLATION:</b> 12 to 18 month duration	\$29.1M
31	Insurance & Bonding	582,264
32	Civil Structures (Podway)	13,392,000
33	Site work	1,339,000
34	Utility diversions	4,285,000
35	Foundations	3,348,000
36	Erection (labor + equipment)	4,018,000
37	Inspections and Certifications	402,000
38	Rolling Stock (Pods & Lifts)	9,607,000
39	Installation & Commissioning	3,843,000
40	Testing & Safety Certification	4,227,000
41	Documentation & Training	1,537,000
42	Facilities	2,911,000
43	Pod cleaning facilities	582,000
44	Repair & maintenance facilities	611,000
45	Pod parking garage	699,000
46	Control room	1,019,000
47	Energy Systems	2,620,000
48	Installation	2,096,000
49	Utility Interconnects	524,000
	Other	29,187,644
	15% Contingency	22,337,482
	Interest During Construction	6,850,161
53	TOTAL PROJECT COSTS	\$171.3M

# **Business model**

· Formula for setting majority of fares.

· Utility integration with attachment fees

· Service quality levels, capped liability, safety program

Project's IRR

· Ability to move project funds into and out of the country

**Financial Strengths** Operate tollway and collect fees for • Predictable revenue from long-term contracts and passenger trips, freight, and parcels. In multiple revenue streams, including PPA. pod direct marketing/advertising. • Durable High Margins from long-term contracts, network effects, high barriers to entry, a platform business Renewable energy generation with model, a vertically integrated system, and exclusivity. storage. Utility attachment fees. Fixed price & time construction installation of • factory-built light civil infrastructure. Phased roll-out. • **Low CAPEX** and competitive with rebuilding a roadway **Concession Agreement with Government** or transition to electric vehicles. Lightweight vehicles and loads Easement rights-of-way for 5% share of revenue enable low cost civil structures. Rapid construction reduces ٠ Guaranteed minimum usage by government interest on debt. 35 to 50 yr term with extension or removal at end • Low OPEX because no driver cost, no fuel cost, low · A common carrier with social benefit maintenance and repair costs, low marketing costs · Can sell and distribute renewable energy · No land ownership • Low fixed OPEX over 75% of expenses are variable Local content %, Job transition programs and proportional to revenue. Clear tender process & reasonable import tariffs

- Sustainable/Equitable Clean energy and transport delivers superior ESG/SDG/Triple-bottom line
- **Proven tech** Comparable systems have been operating safety for 40+ years in US. Fixed price contracts.

Financial Projections	Expected	50% less passenger trips	50% less passenger trips & 50% less freight trips	
Project cost / CAPEX	\$171.3M	\$171.3M	\$171.3M	
NET REVENUE	\$126.3M	\$95.6M	\$66.6M	
Passenger fares	\$59.8M	\$29.9M	\$29.9M	
Long-term guaranteed contracts (est.) Daily trips (% mode share) Avg. revenue per trip: \$	\$3.0M 113,272 (47%) \$1.45	\$1.5M 56,636 (24%)	\$1.5M 56,636 (24%)	
Revenue per vehicle Advertising per hour per passenger	\$277,482 \$0.62	\$754.2K	\$754.2K	
Freight & Parcels Long-term guaranteed contracts (est.)	\$58.1M	\$58.1M	\$29.0M	
Energy \$/MWh (\$/GJ)	\$2.7M	\$2.7M	\$2.7M	
EV & Carbon Credits per tCO2e	\$2.3M	\$2.3M	\$2.3M	
Attachment fees	\$1.9M	\$1.9M	\$1.9M	
OPEX	\$40.1M	\$32.5M	\$25.2M	
Toll share Operations & Maintenance, SG&A Depreciation / Reserve	\$0.3M \$25.3M \$8.6M	\$4.8M \$19.1M \$8.6M	\$3.3M \$13.3M \$8.6M	
EBIT	\$86.1M	\$63.1M	\$41.4M	
Interest Payment	\$11.5M	\$11.5M	\$11.5M	
Net Operating Income (NOI)	\$63.4M	\$43.8M	\$25.3M	
Gross Margin (OPEX/Revenue)	68%	66%	62%	
NOI / Project cost ratio	0.37	0.26	0.15	
Breakeven Revenue Return of Capital DSCR	39% 4.7 years Year 1: 2.46 Year 5: 8.20			
Cash-Flow-to-Debt Ratio Valuation at year 5 (with P/E ratio of 4)	0.44 \$505.0M (14.7 times initial equity)			

31%

# 10-year Pro Forma

Dollar values in thousands USD ('000)

Years	0	1	2	3	4	5	6 7	89	10
INCOME STATEMENT	-								
Net Revenues	\$ 0\$	37,876 \$	53,027 \$	74,238 \$	103,933 \$	126,255 \$	126,255 \$12	\$12 \$12 \$	126,255
% of steady-state revenue	0%	30%	42%	59%	82%	100%	100%		100%
Operating Costs	\$ 0	9,469	13,257	18,559	25,983	40,469	40,469 40,		40,469
Toll Share	\$ 0.00	1,894	2,651	3,712	5,197	6,313	6,313		6,313
Operations & Maintenance, SG&A	\$ 0	7,575	10,605	14,848	20,787	25,251	25,251 25,		25,251
Depreciation / Reserve	\$ 0	0	0	0	0	8,905	8,905		8,905
EBIT	\$ 0	28,407	39,770	55,678	77,950	85,786	85,7867867		85,786
Interest Payment	\$ 11,543 \$	11,543 \$	11,543 \$	11,543 \$	11,543 \$	11,543 \$	11,543	\$	11,543
Taxes	\$ 0	2,530	4,234	6,620	9,961	11,136	11,136 136 1		11,136
Net Operating Income (NOI)	\$ (11,543)	14,334	23,993	37,515	56,445	63,106	63,106		63,106
BALANCE SHEET									
Total Assets	\$ 176,506	176,780	177,164	177,701	178,104	178,104	178,104		178,104
Cash & Marketable Secur. (BOP)									
Fixed Assets (acquisition cost)	\$ 176,506	176,780	177,164	177,701	178,104	178,104	178,104		178,104
Depreciation	\$ 8,825	8,839	8,858	8,885	8,905	8,905	8,905 905 9		8,90
Accumulated Depreciation	\$ 8,825	17,664	26,523	35,408	44,313	53,218	62,123		97,744
Total Liabilities	\$ 143,853	143,853	143,853	143,853	143,853	143,853	143,853 853 8		143,853
Debt	\$ 143,853	143,853	143,853	143,853	143,853	143,853	143,853		143,853
Equity	\$ 34,251	48,585	72,578	110,093	166,538	229,645	292,751 357 9		545,175
Capital	\$ 34,251	34,251	34,251	34,251	34,251	34,251	34,251		34,251
Retained Earnings	\$ 0	14,334	38,327	75,842	132,288	195,394	<b>258,500</b> 506 7		510,924
CASH FLOW									
Free Cash Flow	\$ (176,506)	28,133	39,387	55,141	77,546	94,691	94,691 591 5		94,691
Cash From Operations	\$ 0	28,407	39,770	55,678	77,950	94,691	94,691		94,691
Increases in Working Capital	\$ 0	0	0	0	0	0	0 0		C
CAPEX	\$ 176,506	274	384	537	404	0	0		C
Fixed Infrastructure	\$ 148,697	0	0	0	0	0	0 0		(
Energy	\$ 20,274	0	0	0	0	0	0		(
Pods	\$ 685	274	384	537	404	0	0 0		(
Interest during construction	\$ 6,850	0	0	0	0	0	0		(
Cash Flow From/To Finance	\$ 166,561	(11,543)	(11,543)	(11,543)	(11,543)	(11,543)	(11,543) 43)		(11,543
Cash From/To Equity Investors	\$ 34,251	0	0	0	0	0	0		(
Cash From/To Debt (Principal)	\$ 143,853	0	0	0	0	0	0 0		(
Dividends	\$ 0	0	0	0	0	0	0		(
IRR to date	loss	loss	(44%)	(15%)	4%	16%	22%		31%

# Offering

**IMPORTANT NOTICE**: The information contained in this document is not an offer to sell or a solicitation to buy any security. These materials and documents and information from which they are derived or which are referred to by or accessible from them may contain forward looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, Section 2E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. All statements other than statements of historical fact are forward looking statements and are subject to risks and uncertainties. Forward looking statements generally can be identified by the use of forward looking terminology such as "may," "will," "expect," "intend," "estimate," "project," "anticipate," "believe" or "plan" or the negative thereof or variations thereon or similar terminology. Although we believe that the expectations reflected in such forward looking statements are reasonable, it can give no assurance that such expectations will prove to be correct. All forward looking statements or circumstances after the date on which it is made or to reflect the occurrence of anticipated or unanticipated events or circumstances. These materials and documents and information from which they are derived or which are referred to by or accessible from them represent our best estimate as to the allocation of the funding based upon its present business plan and financial condition. The costs and expenses to be incurred in pursuing the Company's business plan cannot be predicted with certainty. There can be no assurance that unforeseen events will not occur or that the Company's business plan will be achieved or that it will not be changed, and it is possible that the funding may be applied in a manner other than that described herein.

		IPO or			
Phase 🕳	Initial Development Equity		Implementation Equity	Debt	Brownfield Investors
Amount to be Raised	\$0.7M	\$6.9M	\$26.7M	\$143.9M	
Status	To be raised	To be raised	Have commitment(s)		12-18 months from start of operations
Collateral/Asset	MOU an	d/or PPA	t, Tax Credits, PPA		
Terms	Com	mon + Preferred S	hares	5-20 year term Limited Recourse	Dividends and share of profits
Exit	Exit at start of (12-18)	implementation months)	Exit @ 18 months after start of operations	n/a	Dividends and profit distribution
Investment goals		ted returns arantee (BG)	>20% IRR	Low risk of default	Long-term, dependable cash flow
Target Return on Capital	72% (or 15% with BG)	54% (or 15% with BG)	36%	n/a	15%
Use of Funds & Milestones	Contract for Bankable Feasibility Study. Environmental impact Route Survey. Pilot ordered. Create project company in country.	Permits & Planning. Major contracts signed. Pilot installed. Full investment docs. Concession signed.	Overall Design and Docs. First phase procurement and implementation. Insurance & bonding.	Remaining Procurement, installation, and commissioning.	