




Transit X presents a preliminary proposal for a sustainable micro-guideway network — a fleet of automated electric vehicles (pods) for passengers and freight on a local and regional podway providing equitable public transportation for

Fairfax County, VA

This proposal is downloadable at transitx.com/proposals/Transit X for Fairfax County,VA.pdf

High capacity · High speed · Nonstop · 24/7 Solar powered · Zero Wait · Door-to-door · Resilient

A companion Transit X Handbook is available at transitxhandbook.pdf



Proposal Overview



Transit X proposes to finance, build and operate a sustainable microguideway to carry passengers and freight for Fairfax County that makes the Transit X service convenient to 95% of the population.

Transit X efficiently services both suburbs and cities and provides for a higher quality of life. See transitx.com for more details. This 3-minute video (transitx.com/video) describes our innovative solution.

Major benefits

- · Reduce congestion
- · Provide parking relief
- · Reduce pollution
- Improve safety

The Transit X Handbook (<u>transitx.com/</u> <u>transitxhandbook.pdf</u>) answers many questions about our service, the company, our technology, and the way we address: congestion, parking, road safety, pedestrian safety, ADA compliance, sustainability, fares,



solar+storage, construction, aesthetics, operations, economic development, quality of service, security, station footprint, equitability, carbon footprint, transit integration, resiliency, reliability, rights-of-way, and open space.

Congestion, parking, pollution, and safety

Most regions suffer from traffic congestion, limited parking, air pollution, and unsafe roads. Potential solutions are costly, but Transit X can solve these challenges without public funding. Transit X can integrate into the built environment, providing both short term relief and a long term solution.

High Capacity & High Speed

A single track carries 12,000 pods per hour (20,000 to 50,000 passengers per hour). Two boarding areas fit in a single car space and provide 2,000 boardings per hour. For urban commutes, pods trips are 3 times faster than car trips and the high-speed podway provides faster door-to-door trips than air travel for distances of 1,000 miles or less.

Zero Footprint and Minimal Disruption

Transit X features stops that don't interfere with pedestrians or other forms of transportation. We use easements alongside highway and roads and integrate utility lines and poles Non-stop interchanges fit above existing intersections. Factory-built tracks and posts enable fast installation with minimal disruption. There are options for long crossings using bridges or underground tunnels. Posts are typically spaced at 23 m (25 yds).

Low-cost Infrastructure & equitable fares

Transit X does not require government funding because our revenue from fares, freight, and advertising is greater than our costs. We have reduced or eliminated many costs of transportation including the cost of materials, land, construction, fuel, debt service, and labor. Our projects are typically financed by investment banks, private equity firms, banks, and governments.

Proven technology

Our team and partners have built fully automated systems that are now in operation around the world. Transit X may look unique, but the underlying design is very similar to systems that have been operating for 40 years with an exemplary safety record. The rollout and maiden flight occurred on Oct 29, 2018 in Leominster, Massachusetts. The first Transit X system will be demonstrated by the end of 2019.

Service Quality

Transit X provides on-demand, last-mile service that is superior to cars or buses. An operating agreement will guarantee high levels of availability and reliability. Our use of small vehicles (pods) makes this possible. By reducing car use, Transit X creates walkable and bike-friendly neighborhoods.

Less pollution: Air, Sound, Light, Visual, Water

Transit X offers a much higher quality of life by eliminating many forms of pollution. Pods are quiet, efficient and have zero emissions. Pods offer less visual impact than the existing roads and vehicles, and utility lines can be hidden within the track. At night, there is no light pollution from headlights or taillights. Water pollution from road runoff is significantly reduced. Parking lots and roadways can be converted into green space and community paths as they become unnecessary.

Sustainable and Efficient

Pods weigh only 55 kg (121 lbs) and achieve over 20 times the efficiency of electric cars. Solar, wind, and storage installed on our tracks and posts can provide 100% of the clean energy needed to power the system.

More Transit & Fewer Cars

Transit X provides the convenience and privacy that people value in cars, yet without the negative impacts of personal cars. Transit X combines the best of mass transit and personal transportation modes which will lead to greater use of public transit and fewer cars.

De-risking Projects

Transit X partners with large, established firms to provide fixed-price contracts for the engineering, certification, construction, and operations of a Transit X system. Theses partnerships enable Transit X to de-risk all of the major elements of the project, and provide performance guarantees. We work with local construction firms.

Jobs and Workforce Development

Many regional jobs will be created to build a new transportation infrastructure, as well many new types of jobs will be created from economic growth. The majority of

the construction jobs will be locally sourced and preferential hiring is given to those displaced by the transition.

Revenue Generator for Government

Not only does Transit X not require public financing, but the government and private easement owners receive 4-5% of gross revenue, which would be US\$203 million per year average over the first 10 years.


Short and Long Term Solution

A project could be operational within 24 months from the start of a project. Transit X offers a rapidly-deployable solution that provides long term benefits. We would form a local company to build, operate, and maintain the network. At least 75% of the profits would be invested back into the region.

Moving Forward

The diagram shows our process for a project. We submit a project proposal, then ask for a commitment for Transit X to build and operate a podway along rights-of-way easements. Example documents and a sample project schedule can be viewed at:

transitx.com/process



Evaluation

Please review our

preliminary proposal, and then ask us any questions. We would be happy to provide further information, address specific concerns, or meet with specific people or groups. Any routes or coverage areas shown on the map are only preliminary suggestions and actual routes would be determined based on needs, rights-of-ways, utility corridors, location of trees, and many other factors.

We expect this proposal to be reviewed by one or more committees or working groups. Familiar transportation options, such as buses, light rail, subways, and ridesharing services (including autonomous vehicles) may have already been considered. Very few options offer the convenience of cars with at least the capacity of buses, and most, if not all, require public funding and subsidies.

Private cars have a dominant mode share because people like the privacy and convenience of a car — despite the significant risks and negative impact associated with them. People won't give up their cars unless the alternative is both better and cheaper. That is what Transit X can provide.

We hope you agree that this proposal offers a way to address your challenges in both the short and long term, providing an option that is better and lower risk than any alternative — including continuing with the status quo.

We hope you will conclude that moving forward with Transit X is an excellent opportunity to meet your current and future challenges.

Once we agree to move forward, we look to receive a commitment for Transit X to build and operate a podway along rights-of-way easements.

Other Resources

The links below provide general information about Transit X:

- One minute video overview (<u>transitx.com/video</u>)
- 7 minute video presentation (https://vimeo.com/36606646/eac953c0cc)
- Transit X Handbook (transitx.com/transitxhandbook.pdf)
- Company profile (transitx.com/about.pdf)
- Other proposals (<u>transitx.com/proposals</u>)
- The process and templates for agreements (<u>transitx.com/process</u>)

Addendum

The remaining pages of this proposal provide project-specific details:

- Project Overview and Impact pages 6 and 7
- Taxes and Fees pages 8 and 9
- Fares page 10 and 11
- Financial Project Summary with Pro Forma pages 12 and 13

We look forward to working with you to improve the quality of life for Fairfax County through better transportation.

Sincerely,



Email: hello@transitx.com

Telephone: +1 508-596-7024 (WhatsApp connected)
Zoom e-room: https://zoom.us/j/8229009123

Website: transitx.com

Twitter: http://twitter.com/TransitXCorp

Mail: 1127 Commonwealth Ave #30, Boston, MA 02134 USA







| Transit X network length People (resident-equivalent) in region Route density ratio (route length to service area) Number of stops Triple-speed route length Water crossing route length Cost of fixed infrastructure Mode share of travel on Transit X (27% after first year) Distance traveled by passengers on Transit X, per year Daily potential energy generation with standard panels on tracks Suze (rated power) of solar installation T, 1,150,795 Tesident-equivalent population 1,150,795 |
|---|
| Route density ratio (route length to service area) Number of stops Number of stops Triple-speed route length Water crossing route length Key Cost of fixed infrastructure Mode share of travel on Transit X (27% after first year) Distance traveled by passengers on Transit X, per year Daily potential energy generation with standard panels on tracks Sustainable energy use per day Energy storage capital cost for 1 day(s) of supply at \$250 per kWh Number of stops 5,930 km 4,979,000 km 4,261,354,898 8,4,261,354,898 8,017,036,988 8,017,036,988 8,017,036,988 8,017,036,988 9,023.2 MWh 2.0% of max capital cost for 1 day(s) of supply at \$250 per kWh Sustainable 9,023.2 MWh Sustainable 9,023.2 |
| Number of stops Triple-speed route length Water crossing route length Cost of fixed infrastructure Substance traveled by passengers on Transit X, per year Dially potential energy generation with standard panels on tracks Sustainable energy use per day Energy storage capital cost for 1 day(s) of supply at \$250 per kWh Number of stops 5,930 km 8,930 km 4,979,526,079 km 84,261,354,898 82% after 10 years 8,017,036,988 km 4,979,526,079 r 13,642,537 miles 9,023.2 MWh 2.0% of max cap |
| Triple-speed route length Water crossing route length Cost of fixed infrastructure Liper person Mode share of travel on Transit X (27% after first year) Distance traveled by passengers on Transit X, per year Daily potential energy generation with standard panels on tracks Sustainable energy use per day Energy storage capital cost for 1 day(s) of supply at \$250 per kWh Name Sustainable energy use per kWh |
| Water crossing route length Cost of fixed infrastructure Substainable energy use per kWh Water crossing route length Water crossing route length Water crossing route length Substainable energy use per kWh Water crossing route length O km Substainable energy of trace length Substainable energy use per kWh Water crossing route length O km Substainable energy storage capital cost for 1 day(s) of supply at \$250 per kWh |
| Cost of fixed infrastructure 84,261,354,898 per person \$3,703 Mode share of travel on Transit X (27% after first year) Distance traveled by passengers on Transit X, per year per day Daily potential energy generation with standard panels on tracks Distance traveled by passengers on Transit X, per year Sustainable energy use per day Energy storage capital cost for 1 day(s) of supply at \$250 per kWh \$4,261,354,898 \$4,261,354,898 \$4,261,354,898 \$4,979,526,079 re \$4,961,354,898 |
| per person \$3,703 Mode share of travel on Transit X (27% after first year) 82% after 10 years Distance traveled by passengers on Transit X, per year 8,017,036,988 km 4,979,526,079 rm Daily potential energy generation with standard panels on tracks Daily potential energy generation with standard panels on tracks Sustainable energy use per day 148.9 MWh 2.0% of max cap Energy storage capital cost for 1 day(s) of supply at \$250 per kWh \$37,231,096 |
| Mode share of travel on Transit X (27% after first year) Distance traveled by passengers on Transit X, per year Daily potential energy generation with standard panels on tracks Daily potential energy generation with standard panels on tracks Sustainable energy use per day Energy storage capital cost for 1 day(s) of supply at \$250 per kWh Mode share of travel on Transit X (27% after first year) 82% after 10 years 4,979,526,079 r 21,964,485 km 13,642,537 mile 9,023.2 MWh 2.0% of max cap 4 Energy storage capital cost for 1 day(s) of supply at \$250 per kWh \$37,231,096 |
| Distance traveled by passengers on Transit X, per year solution 21,964,485 km solution 21,9 |
| 11per day 21,964,485 km 13,642,537 miles 12 Daily potential energy generation with standard panels on tracks 9,023.2 MWh 13 Sustainable energy use per day 148.9 MWh 2.0% of max cap 14 Energy storage capital cost for 1 day(s) of supply at \$250 per kWh \$37,231,096 |
| Daily potential energy generation with standard panels on tracks 9,023.2 MWh Sustainable energy use per day 148.9 MWh 2.0% of max cap 45 Energy storage capital cost for 1 day(s) of supply at \$250 per kWh 537,231,096 |
| Sustainable energy use per day 148.9 MWh 2.0% of max call Energy storage capital cost for 1 day(s) of supply at \$250 per kWh \$37,231,096 |
| Energy storage capital cost for 1 day(s) of supply at \$250 per kWh \$37,231,096 |
| g,g,(-,, p + p + |
| 15 Size (rated nower) of color installation 34 602 KM |
| |
| Cost to generate sustainable energy (at \$1,000 per kW) \$34,622,548 |
| Cost of buying sustainable energy at \$0.15 per kWh \$22,339 per day 4% of OPEX |
| Daily passengers riding Transit X 943,181 customers 82% of the pop. |
| Distance per passenger per day 23 km 14.5 miles |
| Average distance per trip (assuming 3 trips per day) 8 km 4.8 miles |
| Single passenger fare for shared 8 km trip Passenger distance traveled during peak hour. 4 302 807 km 2,728,507 miles |
| r assenger distance traveled during peak flour 4,592,697 km |
| Breakeven 298,155 customers per day (32% of expected and of people convenient to Transit X) |
| Boarding capacity 2,134,800 passengers per hour (226% of customers |
| Number of pods for peak demand 34,904 pods at 82% mode share |
| Number of customers per pod 27.0 and 33 people per pod |
| |
| Distance per pod per year 168,193 km |
| |
| Two-layer pod garage area (4% of route with side–parking) 38,394 m ² 0.2% of car park |
| Two-layer pod garage area (4% of route with side–parking) Cost of pods S226,876,000 is \$152 per person |
| Two-layer pod garage area (4% of route with side–parking) Cost of pods Capital cost of energy generation and storage 38,394 m² 0.2% of car parking) \$226,876,000 is \$152 per person \$93,409,736 is \$81 per person |
| Two-layer pod garage area (4% of route with side–parking) Cost of pods Capital cost of energy generation and storage Project Finances 38,394 m² 0.2% of car parking) \$226,876,000 is \$152 per person \$93,409,736 is \$81 per person |
| Two-layer pod garage area (4% of route with side-parking) Cost of pods Capital cost of energy generation and storage Total Project Cost Total Project Cost 38,394 m² 0.2% of car parking) \$226,876,000 is \$152 per person \$93,409,736 is \$81 per person \$4,581,640,634 |
| Two-layer pod garage area (4% of route with side-parking) Cost of pods Capital cost of energy generation and storage Total Project Cost Project cost per km Total Project cost per km Sa,394 m² 0.2% of car parking) \$226,876,000 is \$152 per person \$93,409,736 is \$81 per person \$4,581,640,634 Project cost per km US\$6.3M per m |
| Two-layer pod garage area (4% of route with side-parking) Cost of pods Capital cost of energy generation and storage Project Finances Total Project Cost \$4,581,640,634 Project cost per km \$3,899,607 per km US\$6.3M per m Equity financing \$1,374,492,190 |
| Two-layer pod garage area (4% of route with side—parking) Cost of pods Capital cost of energy generation and storage Project Finances Total Project Cost \$4,581,640,634 Project cost per km \$3,899,607 per km US\$6.3M per m Equity financing \$1,374,492,190 Debt financing \$3,207,148,444 |
| Two-layer pod garage area (4% of route with side—parking) Cost of pods Cost of pods Capital cost of energy generation and storage Project Finances Total Project Cost \$4,581,640,634 Project cost per km \$3,899,607 per km US\$6.3M per m Equity financing \$1,374,492,190 Debt financing \$3,207,148,444 |
| Two-layer pod garage area (4% of route with side—parking) Cost of pods Cost of pods Capital cost of energy generation and storage Project Finances Total Project Cost Project cost per km Sa,899,607 per km US\$6.3M per m Equity financing Debt financing Debt financing Sa,394 m² 0.2% of car parking) \$4,581,640,600 is \$152 per person \$93,409,736 is \$81 per person US\$6.3M per m \$3,899,607 per km US\$6.3M per m \$3,207,148,444 |
| Two-layer pod garage area (4% of route with side—parking) Cost of pods Capital cost of energy generation and storage Project Finances Total Project Cost per km Project cost per km Equity financing Debt financing Debt service (per year) \$38,394 m² 0.2% of car park \$226,876,000 is \$152 per person \$93,409,736 is \$81 per person \$4,581,640,634 US\$6.3M per m \$1,374,492,190 \$3,207,148,444 |
| Two-layer pod garage area (4% of route with side—parking) Cost of pods Cost of pods S226,876,000 is \$152 per person Capital cost of energy generation and storage S93,409,736 is \$81 per person Total Project Cost Project Finances Total Project cost per km S1,899,607 per km US\$6.3M per m Equity financing Debt financing S3,207,148,444 Debt service (per year) Yearly fees and taxes (US\$221 per capita) S26,876,000 is \$152 per person S42,581,640,634 S4,581,640,634 S4,581,640,634 S4,581,640,634 S54,581,640,634 S554,581,640,634 S554,581,640 |
| Two-layer pod garage area (4% of route with side—parking) Cost of pods Capital cost of energy generation and storage Project Finances Total Project Cost Project cost per km Equity financing Debt financing Debt service (per year) Person Debt service (per year) Yearly fees and taxes (US\$221 per capita) Tosal of pods \$38,394 m² 0.2% of car park \$226,876,000 is \$152 per person \$38,340,736 is \$81 per person \$44,581,640,634 \$45,881,640,640 \$45,881,640,640 \$45,881,640,640 \$45,881,640,640 \$ |
| Two-layer pod garage area (4% of route with side–parking) Cost of pods Cost of pods S226,876,000 is \$152 per person Capital cost of energy generation and storage Project Finances Total Project Cost Project cost per km S3,899,607 per km US\$6.3M per m Equity financing Debt financing Debt service (per year) S23,394 m² 0.2% of car park \$24,581,640,600 is \$152 per person \$4,581,640,634 US\$6.3M per m \$3,899,607 per km US\$6.3M per m \$3,207,148,444 |
| Two-layer pod garage area (4% of route with side–parking) Cost of pods Capital cost of energy generation and storage Project Finances Total Project Cost Project cost per km Sa,899,607 per km US\$6.3M per m Equity financing Debt financing Debt financing Debt service (per year) Yearly fees and taxes (US\$221 per capita) Project costs — per person \$3,994 m² 0.2% of car park \$226,876,000 is \$152 per person is \$81 per person US\$6.3M per m \$3,899,607 per km US\$6.3M per m \$3,899,607 per km US\$6.3M per m \$3,207,148,444 \$366 \$377 \$388 \$390 \$3,207,148,444 \$400 \$400 \$400 \$400 \$400 \$400 \$400 |
| Two-layer pod garage area (4% of route with side–parking) Cost of pods Capital cost of energy generation and storage Project Finances Total Project Cost S4,581,640,634 Project cost per km Equity financing Debt financing Debt financing Debt service (per year) Pearly fees and taxes (US\$221 per capita) Project costs — per person S3,994 m² O.2% of car park \$226,876,000 is \$152 per person \$4,581,640,634 \$4,581,640,634 \$3,899,607 per km US\$6.3M per m S3,207,148,444 S5,215,235 \$4,581,640,634 \$5,215,235 \$5,207,148,444 Project costs — per person S3,207,148,444 Project costs — per person S3,981 Project costs — per person S3,981 Number of motor vehicles displaced S01,704 motor vehicles |
| Two-layer pod garage area (4% of route with side-parking) 38,394 m² 0.2% of car parking |
| Two-layer pod garage area (4% of route with side–parking) Cost of pods Capital cost of energy generation and storage Project Finances Total Project Cost \$4,581,640,634 Project cost per km \$3,899,607 per km US\$6.3M per m Equity financing \$1,374,492,190 Debt financing \$3,207,148,444 Peroject cost per year) Yearly fees and taxes (US\$221 per capita) Project costs — per person \$3,981 Number of motor vehicles displaced Yearly cost of cars displaced Type of the person \$6,270 Operating costs per passenger-km \$0.03 |
| Two-layer pod garage area (4% of route with side—parking) Cost of pods Cost of pods S226,876,000 is \$152 per person Capital cost of energy generation and storage Project Finances Total Project Cost S4,581,640,634 Project cost per km S3,899,607 per km US\$6.3M per m Equity financing Debt financing S3,207,148,444 Poper capital Project cost per year) S24 Project cost per year) S35 Project cost per year) S45,215,235 Wearly fees and taxes (US\$221 per capita) Project costs — per person S3,981 Number of motor vehicles displaced Yearly cost of cars displaced — per person Operating costs per passenger-km S0,03 Full costs per passenger-km S0,03 Full costs per passenger-km S0,03 |
| Two-layer pod garage area (4% of route with side–parking) Cost of pods Capital cost of energy generation and storage Project Finances Total Project Cost \$4,581,640,634 Project cost per km \$3,899,607 per km US\$6.3M per m Equity financing \$1,374,492,190 Debt financing \$3,207,148,444 Peroject cost per year) Yearly fees and taxes (US\$221 per capita) Project costs — per person \$3,981 Number of motor vehicles displaced Yearly cost of cars displaced Type of the person \$6,270 Operating costs per passenger-km \$0.03 |





Impact of proposed network

| 1 | Reduction in GHG emissions (metric tons CO2-eq) | 791,682 MTCO2-eq annually |
|----|--|------------------------------|
| 2 | Estimated cost to maintain public roadways | \$208,142,449 annually |
| 3 | Reduced waste products | 128,473 metric tons annually |
| 4 | Travel time saved (non-stop travel and congestion) | 413 hrs/person annually |
| 5 | Cost savings from reduced car ownership | \$1,820 per person annually |
| 6 | Increase in household income (from time savings and car costs) | 14% |
| 7 | Reported injuries avoided | 4,971 annually |
| 8 | Lives saved (from safety) | 50 annually |
| 9 | Land freed from parking (4,556 acres) | 18,439,185 m ² |
| 12 | Temperature reduction (from heat island effect & GHG reductions) | 0.5 to 2 °C |
| 11 | Health care savings (from pollution, injuries) | High |
| | | |

Model Inputs

| | model inpu | | | |
|----|--|-------------|--------------------|-------------|
| 15 | Ratio of road length to track length | 4 | | |
| 16 | Walking speed | 4.9 | km/h | 3 mph |
| 17 | Width of convenient swath along track | 0.65 | km | 0 mile |
| 18 | Fixed cost per km (track & posts) | \$2,790,000 | | |
| 19 | Water crossing: additional cost per km | \$8,370,000 | | |
| 20 | Triple-speed: additional cost per km | \$5,580,000 | | |
| 21 | Rate factor for water crossings or high-speed links. | 2.2 | | |
| | Average distance traveled per person per year | 10.000 | | |
| 22 | (for trips under 1600 km) | 10,000 | km | 6,211 miles |
| 23 | Average distance per day per person | 27 | km | |
| 24 | Mode share % of people convenient to Transit X | 85% | at 5 min walk. | |
| 25 | Percentage of daily demand during peak hour | 20% | | |
| 26 | Maximum capacity per track | 23,598 | pph | |
| 27 | Average dwell time during peak hour | | seconds | |
| 28 | % of pods traveling on route with highest demand | 18% | | |
| 29 | Average speed of pod | 72 | km/h | 45 mph |
| 30 | Average # of trips for a daily customer | 3 | per day | |
| 31 | Average passengers per pod during peak hours | 2.2 | passengers | |
| 32 | Average passengers per pod | | passengers | |
| | Average discount per passenger | 18% | | |
| 33 | Maximum passengers per pod | | passengers | |
| 34 | Empty pods: Percentage non-revenue | 25% | | |
| 35 | Ex-Factory cost per pod | \$5,000 | | |
| 36 | Worldwide Median Income per Household (US\$) | 10,000 | | |
| 37 | Average number of residents per household | 2.3 | | |
| 38 | Base fare per km | \$0.41 | | |
| 39 | (per mile) | \$0.65 | | |
| 40 | O&M as % of project cost | 5% | | |
| 41 | Percentage debt financed | 70% | | |
| 42 | Length of loan/debt | | years | |
| 43 | Interest rate for debt | 7% 2.37 | | |
| 44 | kg CO2 emissions per liter of gasoline Monetary value of 1 hour personal time (USD) | \$13.75 | | |
| 45 | | | | |
| 46 | Eat. roadway maintenance per year per km Area of one parking lot space | \$51,000 | m² | 247 sf |
| 47 | Commercial income of land (annual) | | per m ² | 247 51 |
| 49 | Distance from roadway that is convenient | 0.20 | | |
| 50 | Stops per km | 5.1 | NIII | |
| 51 | Boarding capacity per stop | 360 | nnh | |
| 52 | Solar panel area per meter of track | 2.0 | ррп | |
| 53 | Cost of sustainable energy and storage | | per kWh | |
| 54 | Global Horizontal Irradiance (GHI) | | kWh/m²/day | |
| 55 | Cost to generate sustainable energy | | per kW | |
| 56 | Storage per column | | kWh | |
| 57 | Typical span | 23 | m cols/km: | 44 |
| 58 | Energy storage cost | \$250 | per kWh | |
| 59 | Energy storage capacity | 1 | days | |
| 60 | Area of parked pod | 2.20 | m² | |
| 61 | Distance discount at max distance | 40% | | |
| 62 | Max distance discount | 500 | km | |
| 63 | Max usage discount at 10,000 km per capita | 50% | | |
| 64 | Shared Pod Discount | 20% | | |
| 65 | Shared Pod Compartment Discount | 40% | | |
| 66 | Mode share starting discount | 67% | | |

Model Inputs (continued)

| 68 | Name of region or project | Fairfax County, VA |
|----|--|--------------------|
| 69 | Currency name | |
| 70 | Equal to US\$1 | 1 |
| 71 | Sustainable energy/electricity generation & storage as | CAPEX |
| 72 | Land area of region (sq. km) | 1,010 |
| 73 | Number of residents in region | 1,150,795 |
| 74 | % travel within region | 85% |
| 75 | % of land area served by roads | 80% |
| 76 | Coverage: % of pop. convenient (4 min walk) to Transit X | 95% |
| 77 | Annual median household income (US\$) | \$55,000 |
| 78 | Convenient walk time to stop (min) | 4 |
| 79 | Triple-speed route length (km) | 0 |
| 80 | Water crossing route length (km) | 0.0 |
| 81 | Visitors per year | 0 |
| 82 | Average length of visit (days) | 2 |
| 83 | Solar production ratio | 1.57 |
| 84 | Regional Fare Factor | 1.0 |
| 85 | EPC costs & contingency | 30% |
| 86 | Triple-speed (km/h) | 242 |
| 87 | Daily Passengers Adjustment | 100% |
| 88 | Number of Stops Adjustment | 100% |
| 89 | Mode Share Adjustment | 100% |
| | | |

Pod & Car

| | | Pod | Car |
|-----|---|---------|-----------|
| 87 | Service life (years) | 20 | 12 |
| 88 | Full cost of vehicle per year | \$200 | \$9,000 |
| 89 | Public cost to maintain infrastructure (per km) | \$0 | \$100,000 |
| 90 | Energy consumption (MPGe) | 3564 | 24 |
| 91 | Energy consumption (liters/100km) | 0.07 | 9.8 |
| 92 | Energy consumption (Watt-hours/km) | 9 | 1375 |
| 93 | mass of CO2 per vehicle per km (kg) | 0 | 0.09875 |
| 94 | Vehicle mass (kg) | 45 | 1950 |
| 95 | Average speed of urban travel (km/h) | 72 | 16 |
| 96 | Typical travel time (in minutes) for 8 km trip | 6 | 29 |
| 97 | Fare/cost per km | \$0.41 | \$0.62 |
| 98 | Number of deaths per 100M passenger-km | 0.00001 | 1 |
| 99 | Number of injuries per 100M passenger-km | 0.0006 | 62 |
| 100 | Volume to park (cubic meters) | 5.7 | 70.9 |
| | | | |




5% of gross revenue is paid for air rights and local taxes.

A minimum payment is based on the Footprint and the Transit X Commercial Rate (TXCR).

| I | Air-rights and Local Taxes | | (for calculating m | ninimums) |
|----------------------------|---|---|--------------------------------|------------------------|
| 2 | Total commercial land (estimated) | 80,800,000 | m² | 19,966 acres |
| 3 | Total commercial gov't revenue (US\$) | \$88,880,000 | | |
| 4 | TXCR (Transit X Commercial Rate) | \$1.10 | per m ² (estimated) | |
| 5 | TXCR is the yearly tax rate per land area. Calculation: total land area of commercial properties in the governmental region, divided by all the governmental income generated by those properties. The TXCR is used to calculate the minimum tax/fee. | \$11.84 | per sf (estimated) | |
| 6 | | | | |
| 7 | Private Easement Fees | For exam | ole | |
| 8 | 4% of gross revenue | \$43.27 | per route-meter | \$13.20 per route-foot |
| 9 | Minimum per year | \$1.63 | per route-meter | \$0.50 per route-foot |
| 10 | Transit X payment to Gover | rnment | | |
| 11 | % of route on government easements | 98% | estimated | |
| | | | | |
| 12 | Total air-rights and local taxes | \$250,137,607 | per year | |
| 12 13 | Total air-rights and local taxes per resident | \$250,137,607 | per year | |
| | | | | |
| 13 | per resident | \$217 | | |
| 13 14 | per resident | \$217 \$1,920,757 | per year | |
| 13 14 15 | per resident with a minimum of | \$217 \$1,920,757 | per year | |
| 13 14 15 16 | per resident with a minimum of Other financial benefits to | \$217 \$1,920,757 Government | per year | |
| 13 14 15 16 17 | per resident with a minimum of Other financial benefits to Less road maintenance from lower VMT | \$217 \$1,920,757 Government ng and lanes | per year | |

Footprint calculations for minimum fee

Yearly fees and taxes



Pod landing area: 1.5m x 2.5m with 3m minimum spacing

| 1 | Footprint Calculations | Metric | | Imperial | |
|----|---|-------------|-----------------|----------|-------------------|
| 2 | Track width | 0.30 | m | 11.8 | inches |
| 3 | Track height | 0.60 | m | 23.6 | inches |
| 1 | Post diameter | 0.3 | m | 11.8 | inches |
| 5 | Post cross section | 0.07 | m^2 | 0.8 | sf |
| 3 | Stop landing area | <u>3.75</u> | m ² | 40.4 | sf |
| 7 | width | <u>1.5</u> | m | 59.1 | inches |
| 3 | length | <u>2.5</u> | m | 98.4 | inches |
| 9 | Ramp length | 21 | | 68.9 | |
| 10 | Typical Span | <u>23</u> | | 75.5 | |
| 11 | Number of posts per unit length | <u>43.5</u> | poles per km | 70.0 | poles per mile |
| 12 | Post height | <u>6</u> | m | 19.7 | feet |
| 13 | | | | | |
| 14 | Single track | 1022.1 | m ² | 10998 | sf |
| 15 | Area of Side Silhouette | 678.3 | m^2 | 7298 | sf |
| 16 | Area of Top Silhouette | 313.1 | m ² | 3369 | sf |
| 17 | Impediment Area (adjusted) | 30.7 | m ² | 331 | sf |
| 18 | · , , , , | | | | |
| 19 | Dual track | 1322.1 | m ² | 14226 | sf |
| 20 | Area of Side Silhouette | 678.3 | | 7298 | |
| 21 | Area of Top Silhouette | 613.1 | | 6597 | - |
| 22 | Impediment Area (adjusted) | 30.7 | | 331 | |
| 23 | , | | | | |
| 24 | Stop | 82.1 | m ² | 883 | sf |
| 25 | Area of Side Silhouette | 25.2 | | 271 | |
| 26 | Area of Top Silhouette | 19.4 | | 208 | |
| 27 | Impediment Area (adjusted) | 37.5 | m^2 | 404 | sf |
| 28 | | | | | |
| 29 | Stops with dedicated landing areas | 2 | stops per km | 3.2 | stops per mile |
| 30 | % of dual track | 100% | | | ' ' |
| 31 | | | | | |
| 32 | Average area per unit length | 1,486 | m² per route-km | 25,793 | sf per route-mile |
| 33 | | | | | |
| 34 | Contract values | | | | |
| 35 | % gross revenue for government on private prop. | 1% | | | |
| 36 | % gross revenue for private easement | 4% | | | |
| 37 | % gross revenue for government easement | 5% | | | |
| 38 | Impediment Factor | 10 | | | |



Fair Fare Formula

Summary

The average commute would be 3.5 times faster saving each commuter 295 hours per year.*

At 0.39 USD per mile, a typical commute on Transit X is 17% less than public transit and 74% less than a Taxi.*

| | | | Trip Length | |
|---------------------|---------------------------|---|---|---|
| A | II prices in USD | 1 mile | 6 mile | 25 mile |
| Transit X | | 0.49 to 0.81 2 min., 3.6x faster | 2.40 to 4.03 8 min., 3.6x faster | 9.22 to 15.71 33 min., 3.4x faster |
| F | Public transit average | 2.72 | 4.33 | 6.35 |
| səpou | Taxi | 3.77 2 to 6 minutes | 16.44 8 to 30 minutes | 63.92 30 to 120 minutes |
| Common public modes | Uber/Lyft | 2.87 2 to 6 minutes | 11.83 8 to 30 minutes | 45.44 30 to 120 minutes |
| nou pı | Public Bus | 2.19 3 to 12 minutes | 2.19 15 to 60 minutes | 3.36 60 to 240 minutes |
| Comr | Train | 3.29 2 to 12 minutes | 3.87 8 to 60 minutes | 6.06 30 to 240 minutes |
| Personal car | | 3.15 2 to 6 minutes | 9.91 8 to 30 minutes | 35.26 30 to 120 minutes |

| | Avg. Speed | Low Speed | High speed | | | | Min Dist | Max Dist. | Time cost | Mode 6% | shar 70% | |
|--------------|---------------|--------------|------------|------|-----------------|----------------|-------------|--------------|-----------|------------|-------------|-----|
| Travel mode | km/h | km/h | km/h | Base | Includ es km | Over per-km | km | km | per min | 2 | 10 | 40 |
| Taxi | 30 | 20 | 80 | 2.19 | 1 | 1.10 | 0.5 | 100 | 0.97 | 5% | 4% | 1% |
| Uber/Lyft | 30 | 20 | 80 | 1.75 | 1 | 0.88 | 0.5 | 100 | 0.49 | 10% | 10% | 2% |
| Public Bus | 15 | 10 | 40 | 2.19 | 20 | 0.06 | 0.5 | 50 | 0 | 50% | 50% | 40% |
| Train | 30 | 10 | 80 | 3.29 | 2 | 0.07 | 2 | 100 | 0 | 35% | 36% | 57% |
| Transit X | 72 | 72 | 72 | 0 | 0 | 0.24 | 0.1 | 50 | 0 | - | - | - |
| Personal car | 30 | 20 | 80 | 1.46 | 0 | 0.73 | 0.1 | 400 | 0.23 | - | - | - |

^{*} All numbers on mode shares, speeds, and costs are rough estimates..

Base fares are set for first 5 years, then adjusted by formula. A 20% discount on a shared pod and a 40% discount on a shared compartment. Trips are discounted proportional to their length reaching a maximum of a 40% discount on a 500 km trip. No congestion–based pricing. Fares are proportional to the median income of the area and inversely proportional to per capita use, so the more use of Transit X, the lower the base fare up a to 50% discount. The amount of market–rate fares must be less than the amount of discounted fares. Transit X Fair Fare Formula and Fair Freight Formula is universal and applies to all regions and all times.



Fair Fare Formula

Fare rates are updated annually using this formula

| | Name | Value | Units | Description of the value or model input |
|---------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------|----------|--|
| 1 | GlobalIncome | 10,000 | USD | Global median household income. Updated annually based on most recent standard published data. |
| 2 | AllTravel | 23,000 | km | Travel distance per household per year on any mode for trips under 1600 km. A global constant |
| 3 | PercentIncomeForTr ansport | 20% | | % of median household income for all transportation under 1600 km trips. A global constant. |
| 4 | GlobalRate | 0.09 | USD/km | Global rate: GlobalIncome * PercentIncomeForTransport / AllTravel |
| 5 | IncomeFirst | \$55,000 | USD | Median household income at first stop (per person per day). External input. Based on reliable public data source updated annually. |
| 6 | IncomeDest | \$82,500 | USD | Median household income at destination per trip. External input. Based on reliable public data updated annually. |
| 7 | RegionalRate | 0.48 | USD/km | Regional rate based on median income: MedianIncomeFirst * PercentIncomeForTransport / AllTravel |
| 8 | UnderIncomeRate | 0.00 | USD/km | Under global income adjustment: if (RegionalRate < GlobalRate, GlobalRate - RegionalRate, 0) |
| 9 | NominalRate | 0.48 | USD/km | Nominal rate: RegionalRate + UnderIncomeRate |
| 10 11 | RegionalFactor | 1.00 | 1100/ | Regional Fare Factor. Negotiated upfront to make network financially viable. |
| 13 | AdjustedRate Population | 0.48 1,150,795 | USD/km | Regional adjusted rate: NominalRate * RegionalFactor Population in region. Updated annually based on trusted public data source. |
| 12 | UsageMaxDiscount | 50% | | Fare Discount when Transit X travel per household equals AllTravel. Global constant. |
| 14 | PassengerTravel { | 3,017,036,988 | 8 km | Total passenger distance traveled previous calendar year. Based on expected mode share for first 3 years. Based on actual passenger trips. Audited. |
| 15 | ModeShare | 30% | | Percent of Total Travel Per Capita on Transit X: |
| 16 | BaseRate | 0.41 | USD/km | PassengerTravel / (Population x AllTravel) Base rate for single-passenger pod (without discounts) |
| 17 | SpecialRateFactor | 2.20 | OOD/KIII | (1 - UsageMaxDiscount x min(1,ModeShare)) x AdjustedRate Rate factor for water crossings or high-speed links. Global constant. |
| | | | | Base rate for high-speed travel or water crossings: |
| 18 | SpecialBaseRate | 0.89 | USD/km | BaseRate * SpecialRateFactor |
| 19 | DistanceDiscount | 40% | | Distance discount at max distance. Global constant. |
| 20 | MaxDistanceDiscou nt | 500 | km | Max distance discount. Global constant. |
| 21 | DistanceDiscountPe rKm | 0.000325 | USD/km | Discount amount per km: BaseRate x DistanceDiscount / MaxDistanceDiscount |
| 22 | SeniorDiscount | 20% | | Senior discount set according to local regulations |
| 23 | StudentDiscount | 20% | | Student discount set according to local regulations |
| 0.4 | DisabilityDiscount | 20% | | Disability discount set according to local regulations |
| 24 | DiscountBaseRate SharedPodDiscount | 0.32 | USD/km | , |
| 25 | SharedPodRate | 20% | | Discount for requesting a shared pod. 15% minimum and 30% maximum. |
| 2627 | SharedCompartment Discount | 0.32 | USD/km | Discount for requesting a shared compartment. 25% minimum and 40% maximum. At least 10 |
| 20 | SharedCompartment | 0.24 | USD/km | percentage points higher than SharedPodDiscount. Rate for shared compartment |
| ∠ŏ | Rate | U. Z 4 | OSD/KIN | BaseRate x (1 - SharedCompartmentDiscount) |
| 29 | SingleOccupancyMa xDistance | 0.28 | USD/km | Rate for 500 km in single-passenger pod. |
| 30 | Senior + SharedCompartment Rate | 0.12 | USD/km | Rate for a Senior taking a 500 km trip in a shared compartment. BaseRate x (1 - SeniorDiscountAmount) x (1 - SharedCompartmentDiscount) x (1 - MaxDistanceDiscount) |
| 31 | 50PctIncomeAtDest | 25% | | % Higher fare rate if Destination has 50% higher median income than First (IncomeDest / IncomeFirst - 1) / 2 |
| 32 | DistanceBase § | 5,932,607,37 ⁻ | 1 km | Passenger distance under base fare. Audited value from operational data. |
| 33 | PercentBase | 74% | | Percent of passenger distance under base fare: DistanceBase / PassengerTravel |
| 34 | BaseRevenue 1 | 1,973,129,769 | 9 USD | Annual revenue from all travel under base rate. Audited value from operational data. |
| 35 | AverageDiscount | 18% | | Average fare discount from Base Rate: 1 - (BaseRevenue / (DistanceDase x BaseRate)) |
| 36 | MarketFactor | 1.0 | | Market rate factor. Negotiated value for setting ratio of AverageDiscount |
| 37 | MarketRateCap | 18% | | Cap on passenger travel distance at market rate: AverageDiscount x MarketFactor |
| 38 | MarketTravelCap 1 | 1,070,647,556 | 6 km | Cap on passenger travel distance at market rate: DistanceBase x MarketRateCap |
| | | | | |

Project Summary

Project A fully-automated, solar-powered, micro-**Description** guideway network. A transportation utility.

Project type Sustainable Transportation Infrastructure

Design, Build, Finance, Own, Operate, Maintain

(DBFOOM)

Project equity US\$1.37 billion (30% of total)

Cost to Gov't \$0

Structure Privately financed equity and debt

Debt term 10 years @ 7%

Equity terms A waterfall profit distribution per year with:

1. 90% until capital payback,

2. then 50% until Target% is reached

then 10%

Taxes & Fees \$250,137,607 per year

Benefits to

society and Extremely high environment

Estimated return 36% average IRR at 5 yrs 44% average IRR at 10 yrs

| Financials (US\$ in millions) | Year 1 | Total Years 1-12 |
|-------------------------------|--------|---------------------|
| Gross Revenues | 1,678 | 48,656 |
| Taxes and fees | 84 | 2,433 |
| Debt service | \$225 | \$2,470 |

ESG (Environmental, Social, Governance) Benefits

| Clean Energy | yes | Improve Resiliency | yes |
|-------------------------|-----|-----------------------------|-----|
| Energy security | yes | Sustainable | yes |
| Zero Emissions | yes | Equitable | yes |
| Zero GHG | yes | Recyclable Materials | yes |
| Lowers Pollution | yes | Affordable Housing | yes |
| Clean Water | yes | Improved Health | yes |
| Improved Safety | yes | Economic Development | yes |
| Add Green Space | yes | Access to Food | yes |
| Accessible | yes | Add Quality Jobs | yes |






Transit X presents a preliminary proposal for a sustainable micro-guideway network a fleet of automated electric vehicles (pods) for passengers and freight on a local and regional podway providing equitable public transportation for

Fairfax County, VA

High capacity · High speed · Nonstop · 24/7 Solar powered · Zero Wait · Door-to-door · Resilient



About Transit X

Transit X finances, designs, builds, and operates solar-electric micro-guideway public transit podways to supplant buses, trains, cars, and trucks. Transit X offers its service to governments and commercial developers. Maiden Flight was on Oct 29, 2018 and pilot projects started in 2018. First pilots will break ground in 2019 and begin operations in 2020. Transit X is a privately held company founded in 2015, based in Boston, Massachusetts.

Status

| | Now | Prior to close |
|----------------------------|-------------|----------------|
| Project financing | Available | Yes |
| Outdoor Test Track | Nov 2019 | Yes |
| Rider-Revenue study | Preliminary | Yes |
| Environmental study | Per region | Yes |
| Air rights | Per project | Yes |
| Permitting | Per project | Yes |
| Safety certification | Per country | Yes |
| Construction firm | Per project | Yes |
| Design and major subs | Per project | Yes |
| Operations & Maint | Partners | Yes |
| Utility relocation | Per project | Agreements |

General information available at transitx.com. Detailed information and references can be provided under appropriate nondisclosure/non-compete/non-circumvent agreements. Contact: Mike Stanley, CEO, Transit X, mike@transitx.com, 508-596-7024



Model Inputs and Assumptions

Route length (km) 1,175

Starting number of pods 11,518

Projected revenue growth 15%

Project Cost (Privately funded) \$4,581,640,634

% Debt financed 70%

Debt \$3,207,148,444

Equity \$1,374,492,190

Debt payment (per year) \$224,500,391

Travel per year per pod (km) 168,193

Revenue per vehicle-km (US\$) 0.87

OPEX as % of project cost 5%

Debt Interest rate 7%

Debt term (yrs) 10

Profit share when below capital return 90%

Profit share when below Target IRR 50%

Profit share when above Target IRR 10%

Pro Forma

| | icais 0 | • | - | • | - | J | • | • | · · | , | 10 | | 12 |
|---------------------|--------------|------------|-------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| Revenue | 0 | 1,677,705, | 631 1,929,361,476 | 2,218,765,697 | 2,551,580,552 | 2,934,317,635 | 3,374,465,280 | 3,880,635,072 | 4,462,730,333 | 5,132,139,883 | 5,901,960,865 | 6,787,254,995 | 7,805,343,244 |
| 5% RoW÷tax÷fee | 9 0% | 83,885, | 282 96,468,074 | 110,938,285 | 127,579,028 | 146,715,882 | 168,723,264 | 194,031,754 | 223,136,517 | 256,606,994 | 295,098,043 | 339,362,750 | 390,267,162 |
| Debt service | 0 | \$224,500, | \$224,500,391 | \$224,500,391 | \$224,500,391 | \$224,500,391 | \$224,500,391 | \$224,500,391 | \$224,500,391 | \$224,500,391 | \$224,500,391 | \$224,500,391 | \$224,500,391 |
| Investor share | 0 | 923,592, | 721 736,883,913 | 307,172,006 | 331,537,703 | 359,558,254 | 391,781,888 | 428,839,067 | 471,454,823 | 520,462,942 | 576,822,279 | 641,635,517 | 716,170,740 |
| Investor share (%) |) | ç | 20% 61% | 22% | 20% | 18% | 17% | 16% | 15% | 15% | 14% | 13% | 13% |
| Share / Orig Capit | al 0% | e | 57% 54% | 22% | 24% | 26% | 29% | 31% | 34% | 38% | 42% | 47% | 52% |
| IRR to date | los | (3 | 3%) 14% | 25% | 31% | 36% | 39% | 41% | 42% | 43% | 44% | 44% | 45% |

Important Notices

The information contained in this document is not an offer to sell or a solicitation to buy any security. These materials and documents and information from which they are derived or which are referred to by or accessible from them may contain forward looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, Section 2E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. All statements other than statements of historical fact are forward looking statements and are subject to risks and uncertainties. Forward looking statements generally can be identified by the use of forward looking terminology such as "may," "will," "expect," "intend," "estimate," "project," "anticipate," "believe" or "plan" or the negative thereof or variations thereon or similar terminology. Although Transit X believes that the expectations reflected in such forward looking statements are reasonable, it can give no assurance that such expectations will prove to be correct. All forward looking statements speak only as of the date made. Except as required by law, Transit X undertakes no obligation to update any forward looking statement to reflect events or circumstances after the date on which it is made or to reflect the occurrence of anticipated or unanticipated events or circumstances. These materials and documents and information from which they are derived or which are referred to by or accessible from them represent Transit X's best estimate as to the allocation of the funding proceeds based upon its present business plan and financial condition. The costs and expenses to be incurred in pursuing the Company's business plan cannot be predicted with certainty. There can be no assurance that unforeseen events will not occur or that the Company's business plan will be achieved or that it will not be changed, and it is possible that the funding proceeds may be applied in a manner other than that described herein.

Jobs Report*

This would create 20,000 new jobs in manufacturing, construction, and operations. About 13,800 existing transportation jobs would be impacted — of which 2,300 workers would need significant retraining. Improving the transportation infrastructure will boost the economy overall and lead to 12,500 new jobs. Lowering the cost of transportation and reducing travel times raises household income by 14%.

| 1 | Annual median household income (US\$) | \$55,000 | |
|----|--|----------|--------------|
| 2 | CAPEX | | |
| 3 | Average gross CAPEX salary (% of median HH) | 125% | |
| 4 | Average gross CAPEX salary | \$68,750 | |
| 5 | % of CAPEX as salary | 15% | |
| 6 | Years of CAPEX | 2 | |
| 7 | # of CAPEX jobs | 5,000 | |
| 8 | % of jobs that are manufacturing vs. construction | 75% | |
| 9 | Manufacturing jobs | 3,750 | |
| 10 | Construction jobs | 1,250 | |
| 11 | Supply chain jobs factor | 3 | |
| 12 | Jobs in supply chain | 15,000 | |
| 13 | Average gross OPEX salary (% of median HH) | 115% | |
| 14 | Average gross OPEX salary | \$63,250 | |
| 15 | % of OPEX as salary | 30% | |
| 16 | Operations and Maintenance jobs | 1,090 | |
| 17 | Secondary-effect jobs factor | 7% | |
| 18 | Secondary effect jobs | 12,450 | |
| 19 | Job transitioning and training | | |
| 20 | Expected mode share at 10 years (from page 6, line 9) | 82% | |
| 21 | % of population with a full-time job | 60% | 690,477 |
| 22 | jobs in transportation | 10% | 69,048 |
| 23 | jobs impacted with this proposed network | 20% | 13,810 |
| 24 | jobs requiring significant retraining | 20% | 2,762 |
| 25 | Jobs needing retraining with this proposed network (over 10 years) | 0.3% | 2,260 |
| 26 | Training cost per person as % of salary (from line 13) | 100% | \$63,250 |
| 27 | Number of years that training is divided across | 10 | |
| 28 | Ratio (as %) of training costs vs. gov't revenue from Transit X | 6% | \$14,294,500 |

^{*} Numbers are approximations based on a universal model. A regional study could analyze data based on local conditions.